UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Elias Antonio Ovalle-Castro

Case Number: 1:10-CR-02905-001JB

USM Number: 33941-069

Defense Attorney: Edward Bustamante, Appointed

THE DEFE	NDANT:			
	admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) MC of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.			
The defenda	ant is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
1	SC - The defendant committed another federal, state, or local crime		08/12/2010	
The defenda Reform Act	ant is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throug of 1984.	gh 3 of this judgment. The senter	nce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The de	fendant has not violated condition(s) and is	s discharged as to such violation	(s).	
name, resid	ence, or mailing address until all fines, restitu	ation, costs, and special assessme	or this district within 30 days of any change of ents imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If of material changes in economic circumstances.	
None		December 16, 2010		
Last Four I	Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.	Date of Imposition of	of Judgment	
1982		/s/ James O. Brown	/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
Bronx , NY			Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and St	ate of Defendant's Residence	Name and Title of Ju	ıdge	
		January 12, 2011		
		Date Signed		

Case 1:10-cr-02905-JB Document 16 Filed 01/12/11 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations

Sheet 2 Imprisonment Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Elias Antonio Ovalle-Castro Case Number: 1:10-CR-02905-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 4 months.

Said term shall run concurrently to the sentence imposed in 2:10CR02719-001JB.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 4 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:		
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.		
	as notified by the Probation of Preural Services Office.		
RETURN			
I hav	e executed this judgment as follows:		
Defe	ndant delivered onto to with a certified copy of this judgment.		
	LINITED STATES MARSHAI		

Ву

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL